IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 2308 of 1996

For Approval and Signature:

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.K.RATHOD

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned Magistrate/Magistrates,Judge/Judges,Tribunal/Tribunals? : NO

SENDHAJI SOMAJI VAGHELA

Versus

AHMEDABAD MUNICIPAL CORP. THROUGH MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER

Appearance:

1. Special Civil Application No. 2308 of 1996

MR KS ACHARYA for Petitioner No. 1

M/S ANAND ADVOCATES for Respondent No. 1

NOTICE SERVED BY DS for Respondent No. 1

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.K.RATHOD

Date of decision: 26/03/2004

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Heard learned advocate Mr. K.S.Acharya on behalf of the petitioner and learned advocate Mr. D.C.Raval

appearing on behalf of the respondent Corporation.

2. When the matter has been taken up for hearing, learned advocate Mr. Raval placed on record the order to the effect that the grievance which has been raised by the petitioner in the present petition is already satisfied by the Corporation and necessary payments which are available to the petitioner has been paid by the Corporation. Therefore, learned advocate Mr. D.C.Raval submitted that now no grievance survive, as already satisfied by the Corporation. Therefore, present petition is disposed of accordingly as no further order is required. Rule is discharged. Interim relief, if any, granted earlier, stands vacated.

(H.K.Rathod,J)

Jayanti*